

HoTT Learning Seminar - 8.2 & 8.3

James Leslie

April 1, 2020

1 Recall

Definition 1.1. A **span** \mathcal{D} consists of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{g} & B \\ f \downarrow & & \\ A & & \end{array}$$

Definition 1.2. A **cocone under \mathcal{D} with base D** is a triple (i, j, h) , represented by the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{g} & B \\ f \downarrow & \nearrow h & \downarrow j \\ A & \xrightarrow{i} & D \end{array}$$

The type of all cocones on \mathcal{D} with base D is defined as:

$$\text{cocone}_{\mathcal{D}}(D) := \Sigma_{i:A \rightarrow D} \Sigma_{j:B \rightarrow D} \Pi_{c:C} i f(c) = j g(c).$$

Definition 1.3. Let \mathcal{D} be a span of n -types, D be an n -type and $c : \text{cocone}_{\mathcal{D}}(D)$. The pair (D, c) is a **pushout of \mathcal{D} in n -types** if for every n -type E , the following map is an equivalence:

$$\begin{array}{c} (D \rightarrow E) \rightarrow \text{cocone}_{\mathcal{D}}(E) \\ t \mapsto t \circ c \end{array}$$

Theorem 1.4. [Uni13, Theorem 7.4.12] Let \mathcal{D} be a span and (D, c) a pushout of \mathcal{D} . Then $(\|D\|_n, \|c\|_n)$ is a pushout of $\|\mathcal{D}\|_n$ in n -types

Theorem 1.5. *The pushout of $1 \leftarrow A \rightarrow 1$ is the **suspension** of A .*

Definition 1.6. A type A is called **n -connected** if $\|A\|_n$ is contractible.

Proposition 1.7. *A type A is n -connected if and only if the map*

$$\lambda b. \lambda a. b : B \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B)$$

is an equivalence for every n -type B .

2 Connectedness of suspensions

Theorem 2.1. *If A is n -connected, then the suspension of A is $(n + 1)$ connected.*

Proof. The suspension of A is given by $1 \cup^A 1$. We need to show that $\|1 \cup^A 1\|_{n+1}$ is contractible. Theorem [Uni13, Theorem 7.4.12] tells us that this type is a pushout of the following span in $n + 1$ -types:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \|A\|_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \|1\|_{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \\ \|1\|_{n+1} & & \end{array}$$

This diagram is equal to:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \|A\|_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ \downarrow & & \\ 1 & & \end{array}$$

Define \mathcal{D} to be this span. We claim that 1 is a pushout in $(n + 1)$ -types of this span, which by the universal property of pushouts will give $\|1 \cup^A 1\|_{n+1} \simeq 1$, showing that the $(n + 1)$ -truncation of the suspension of A , is contractible.

By Definition 1.3, we need to show that for every $(n + 1)$ -type E , the following map is an equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \rightarrow E) &\rightarrow \text{cocone}_{\mathcal{D}}(E) \\ k &\mapsto (k, k, \lambda u. \text{refl}_{k(*)}) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

We do this by finding a chain of equivalences, whose composite is equal to (1). The first map we are interested in is:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 \rightarrow E) &\rightarrow E \\ k &\mapsto k(*) \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

We note that this is clearly an equivalence. We also have the following map, which is an equivalence (see Appendix A):

$$\begin{aligned} E &\rightarrow \Sigma_{x:E} \Sigma_{y:E} x =_E y \\ x &\rightarrow (x, x, \text{refl}_x) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We note that $\|A_{n+1}\|$ is n -connected, as $\| \|A\|_{n+1} \|_n = \|A\|_n = 1$. Also, $x =_E y$ is an n type as E is an $(n+1)$ -type. This means that the following map is an equivalence, by Corollary [Uni13, Corollary 7.5.9]:

$$\begin{aligned} (x =_E y) &\rightarrow (\|A\|_{n+1} \rightarrow (x =_E y)) \\ p &\mapsto \lambda z. p \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

In particular this means we have an equivalence:

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{x:E} \Sigma_{y:E} (x =_E y) &\rightarrow \Sigma_{x:E} \Sigma_{y:E} (\|A\|_{n+1} \rightarrow (x =_E y)) \\ (x, y, p) &\mapsto (x, y, \lambda z. p) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Putting all of this together gives the following:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (1 \rightarrow E) \xrightarrow{\sim} E \xrightarrow{\sim} \Sigma_{x:E} \Sigma_{y:E} (x =_E y) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \Sigma_{x:E} \Sigma_{y:E} (\|A\|_{n+1} \rightarrow (x =_E y)) \\ k \mapsto k(*) \mapsto (k(*), k(*), \text{refl}_{k(*)}) & & \\ \downarrow & & \\ (k(*), k(*), \lambda u. \text{refl}_{k(*)}) & & \Sigma_{x:E} \Sigma_{y:E} (\|A\|_{n+1} \rightarrow (x =_E y)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ (k, k, \lambda u. \text{refl}_{k(*)}) & & \Sigma_{f:1 \rightarrow E} \Sigma_{g:1 \rightarrow E} (\|A\|_{n+1} \rightarrow (f(*) =_E g(*))) \end{array}$$

We note that $\text{cocone}_{\mathcal{D}}(D) = \Sigma_{f:1 \rightarrow E} \Sigma_{g:1 \rightarrow E} (\|A\|_{n+1} \rightarrow (f(*) =_E g(*)))$, and this above composite is the map (1), that we wanted to be an equivalence.

Therefore, the suspension of A is $(n+1)$ -connected. \square

Corollary 2.2. *For all $n : \mathbb{N}$, the n -sphere S^n is $(n-1)$ -connected.*

Proof. We note that $\|S^0\|_{-1}$ is the propositional truncation of S^0 , so there is a path between any two terms. As S^0 is non-empty, we then have $\|S^0\|_{-1}$ being contractible. Suppose that S^n is $(n-1)$ -connected. As S^{n+1} is the suspension of S^n , Theorem 2.1 tells us that S^{n+1} is n -connected. \square

3 $\pi_{k \leq n}$ of an n -connected space

Lemma 3.1. *If A is an n -type and $a : A$, then $\pi_k(A, a) = 1$ for all $k > n$.*

Proof. Recall that the loop space, $\Omega(A, a)$ of an n -type is an $n - 1$ type. This means that $\Omega^k(A, a)$ is an $(n - k)$ -type. As $n - k \leq -1$, so $\Omega^k(A, a)$ is an inhabited mere proposition, hence contractible. Then, $\pi_k(A, a) = \|\Omega^k(A, a)\|_0 = 1$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *If A is n -connected and $a : A$, then $\pi_k(A, a) = 1$ for all $k \leq n$*

Proof. We have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_k(A, a) &= \|\Omega^k(A, a)\|_0 \\
 &= \Omega^k(\|(A, a)\|_k) \\
 &= \Omega^k(\| \| (A, a) \|_n \|_k) && k \leq n \implies \| - \|_k \circ \| - \|_n = \| - \|_k \\
 &= \Omega^k(\|1\|_k) && A \text{ is } n\text{-connected} \\
 &= \Omega^k(1) \\
 &= 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

\square

Corollary 3.3. $\pi_k(S^n) = 1$ for $k < n$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.2, the n -sphere is $(n - 1)$ -connected. Apply Lemma 3.2. \square

A Coq code

We give a coq proof that the function (3) is an equivalence.

```
Require Import HoTT.
```

```
Definition homotopy {A B : Type} (f g : A → B) : Type :=  
  forall x : A, f x = g x.
```

```
Definition isequiv {A B : Type} (f : A → B): Type :=  
  exists (g : B → A),  
  ((homotopy (g o f) (idmap)) /\ (homotopy (idmap) (f o g))).
```

```
Definition func3 (A : Type) :  
  A → exists (x : A), exists (y : A), x = y :=  
  fun a : A => (a; (a; idpath)).
```

```
Goal forall (A : Type), isequiv (func3 A).  
intro A. srefine (_;_).  
+ intro H. apply H.  
+ split.  
  - intro x. reflexivity.  
  - intro x. simpl. induction x.  
    induction proj2_sig.  
    induction proj2_sig.  
    simpl. unfold func3. reflexivity.  
Defined.
```

References

[Uni13] The Univalent Foundations Program. *Homotopy Type Theory: Univalent Foundations of Mathematics*. <https://homotopytypetheory.org/book>, Institute for Advanced Study, 2013.